



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,061	08/21/2001	Brian K. Kobilka	STAN-213	7757

24353 7590 12/17/2003
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP
200 MIDDLEFIELD RD
SUITE 200
MENLO PARK, CA 94025

EXAMINER

LI, RUIXIANG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1646

#13

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

#13

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/935,061	KOBILKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ruixiang Li	1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ruixiang Li.

(3) Karo Francis.

(2) Yvonne Eyler.

(4) Brian Kobilka. (5) Eddie Baba.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 9-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: The EMBL Journal 16:6737-6747, 1997.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the issues related to rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph (Scope of enablement), 112, 2nd paragraph, and 102 (b) were discussed. Applicants would consider submitting an amendment to limit the scope of invention and to substantiate their argument. The Examiner maintained the rejections of claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph (Scope of enablement) and 35 U.S.C. 102 (b).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Ruixiang Li
Examiner's signature, if required